



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,354	10/29/2003	Brian Harold Kelley	030622	7523
23696	7590	05/02/2008	EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121		REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A		
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2131		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/02/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com
kascanla@qualcomm.com
nanm@qualcomm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,354	KELLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher A. Revak	2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 January 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 and 24-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-19 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6-9 and 24-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/29/03 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 10-19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art rejection of claims has been withdrawn.
2. Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claims 6-9 and 24-26 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
3. As per claims 6-9, in response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "enabling operating modes of a device during device initialization" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
4. As per claims 24-26, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., enabling operating modes of a device during device initialization) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6-9 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellison et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,795,905 and Ellison hereinafter), in view of Christie et al., (U.S. Patent No. 7,130,951 and Christie hereinafter).

Regarding claims 6 and 24, Ellison discloses two operating modes comprising a privileged mode (i.e., isolated execution mode) and a non-privileged mode (i.e., normal execution mode) (col. 4, lines 13-25), further comprising:

enabling both the privileged (i.e., isolated) and the non-privileged (i.e., normal) modes if it is determined that the device is to operate in both the privileged and the non-privileged modes (col. 2, lines 47-50 and col. 3, lines 6-9) (i.e., Access to an accessible physical memory 60 is governed according to their ring hierarchy and the execution mode. ... the isolated area is accessible only to elements of the operating system and processor operating in an isolated execution mode. The non-isolated area 80 is accessible to all elements of the ring 0 operating system and to the processor), wherein programs operating in the privileged mode have unlimited access to device memory and/or device functions (i.e., the isolated execution ring-0 15, including the operating system nub 16 and the processor nub 18, can access both of the isolated area 70, including applet pages 72 and the nub pages 74, and the non-isolated area 80,

including the application pages 82 and the operating system pages 84) and programs operating in the non-privileged mode have limited access to device memory and/or device functions (i.e., the normal execution ring-0 11, including the primary operating system 12 and software drivers 13, and hardware drivers 14, can access both of the non-isolated area 80, including the application pages 82 and the operating system pages 84, but cannot access the isolated area 70)(col. 4, lines 10-45 and col. 12, lines 5-41).

Ellison does not expressly disclose enabling the privileged mode during a device initialization if it is determined that the device is to operate only in the privileged mode.

However, Christie further discloses a method for selectively enabling operating modes of a device during a device initialization, wherein the operating modes comprise a privileged mode (i.e., trusted execution mode, by the secure kernel) and a non-privileged mode (i.e., normal mode)(col. 12, lines 63-67 and col. 13, lines 1-30),

a flag (i.e., SEM enable flag), and selection logic that operates to read the flag to set the operating mode of the device, wherein if the flag is set the selection logic enables the privileged mode, and if the flag is not set, the selection logic enables both the privileged and non-privileged modes (col. 11, lines 60-67 and col. 12, lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify teachings of Ellison with teachings of Christie because it would allow to include a SEM processor as disclosed by Christie. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Christie to disable the plurality of interrupts

from interrupting the secure execution mod-capable processor when it is operating in a secure execution mode (Christie, col. 3, lines 1-12).

As per claim 7, Christie discloses further comprising a memory that stores the flag (col. 11, lines 60-67 and col. 12, lines 1-20).

As per claim 8, Christie discloses further comprising one or more memory management units (i.e., memory controllers) that are controlled by the selection logic (i.e., MC control logic) to set the operating mode of the device (col. 9, lines 54-67 and col. 10, lines 1-67 and col. 11, lines 1-12).

As per claim 9, Ellison discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the device is a wireless device (col. 2, lines 47-67 and col. 3, lines 1-67, and col. 4, lines 1-10, where the logical operating architecture may be realized to be deployed on a laptop).

Regarding claim 25, Ellison further discloses wherein the memory further comprises a code memory and a data memory, further comprising:

wherein the code memory is operable to store code (i.e., NUB pages 74 and OS pages 84)(col. 4, lines 10-50), a first memory management unit operable, under control of the selection logic, to partition (i.e., isolate) the code memory into a privileged code region comprising privileged code and a non-privileged code region comprising non-privileged code (col. 8, lines 20-67 and col. 9, lines 1-67), wherein the data memory is operable to store data (i.e., Applet pages 72 and Application pages 82)(col. 4, lines 10-50), and a second memory management unit operable, under control of the selection logic, to partition the data memory into a privileged data region comprising privileged

data and a non-privileged data region comprising non-privileged data (col. 8, lines 20-67 and col. 9, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 26, Ellison further discloses wherein the first memory management unit is operable to restrict operation of the non-privileged code to the non-privileged code region of the code memory, and wherein the second memory management unit is operable to restrict operation of the non-privileged code to the non-privileged data region of the data memory (i.e., Access to an accessible physical memory 60 is governed according to their ring hierarchy and the execution mode. ... the isolated area is accessible only to elements of the operating system and processor operating in an isolated execution mode. The non-isolated area 80 is accessible to all elements of the ring 0 operating system and to the processor) (col. 4, lines 10-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-5 and 10-19 are allowed.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher A. Revak/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2131